OVERVIEW OF CONTENT REVISIONS POWER TO THE PROFESSION

DECISION CYCLES 345+6

DC 345 Public Draft 1	What The Field Said: A Summary	DC 345+6 Public Draft 2	
KEY RECOMMENDATIONS			
3 professional designations	Differentiate responsibilities	3 professional designations	
	 Designations seem vague and may not be clear to the public 	Strengthened distinctions and roles	
4 professional	Ensure quality assurance, competency	 4 professional preparation programs 	
preparation programs	alignment and higher ed capacity	Linked to draft competencies	
	Differentiate the levels of preparation	Showed connections to Decision Cycles 7&8	
	Include "freestanding" programsInclude advanced preparation	 Committed to exploring freestanding programs during implementation phase 	
		Strengthened distinctions and explained the lessened but intentional fragmentation	
		Clarified focus on initial roles for now	
Generalize before specialize	Remove Montessori and Reggio as specializations	 Removed Montessori and Reggio as specializations 	
	 Allow for programs to blend generalize and specialize preparation 	 Enhanced language to include blended programs 	
KEY COMMITMENTS			
Raise expectations for	O Too status quo	Described status quo, attending to the	
preparations across all	O Too big of a lift from where we are	difficult realities facing the field	
settings and sectors	 Lack of a bold north star vision 	Added audacious vision	
		Positioned DC 345+6 as the "bridge"	
		between status quo and audacious vision	
		 Positioned DC 345+6 as the first-edition unifying framework 	
Informed by	Report recommended a bachelor's	Reiterated value of bachelor's, vision of	
Transforming the Workforce Report	 Report recommended pathways and timelines to a bachelor's 	building towards a bachelor's for all, and the importance of associate & 120 hours (both/and)	
	 Report said research on bachelor's was inconclusive 	 Strengthened distinction between bachelor's and associate 	
Commitment to comparable compensation	 Difficult to talk about qualifications without compensation 	Added Decision Cycle 6	
		 Defined comparable compensation 	
	Market cannot bear cost of	Made the case for public funding as a	
	recommendations	necessity for P2P implementation and	
		the reason for why P2P is structured as	
		a profession-led initiative for definition, accountability, and compensation	
		accountability, and compensation	

OVERVIEW OF CONTENT REVISIONS POWER TO THE PROFESSION

DECISION CYCLES 345+6

DC 345 Public Draft 1	What The Field Said: A Summary	DC 345+6 Public Draft 2	
Commitment to diversity, equity and inclusion	Be more explicit about this commitment	Elevated and integrated diversity and equity	
	Don't use deficit-based frame	 Used strengths-based frame 	
	O Honor the existing workforce	Ocmmitted to grandfathering policies	
	 Recommendations will reduce the diversity of the profession 	and flexible approaches to measuring competencies during implementation phase	
P2P is about commitment to	Ommitment to children, particularly diverse children and families, is lost or too	 Began document with commitment to children 	
children	light	 Reinforced accountability to children and specifically diverse children and families 	
KEY PROCESSES			
P2P is led by the field	 Ensure diverse members of the field are engaged and informing the decisions Field needs more time 	Translated materials into Spanish	
		Extended framework timeline to Spring 2019	
Transparent (includes framing the contextual narrative to paint the full picture)	Ocontent too dense and too qualitative	Oncluded more precise and accessible	
	 Rationale for recommendations not clear or data informed 	language	
		O Included quantitative data	
		Preparing companion resources	

NOVEMBER 2018